



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/863,897	05/23/2001	Anna Karri	944-003.088	9365		
4955	7590	02/04/2009	EXAMINER			
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468				CUMMING, WILLIAM D		
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER				
2617						
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE				
02/04/2009		PAPER				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte ANNA KARRI, JOHANNES LEHTO,
KIMMO HAMYNNEN, REIDAR WASENIUS, ANU MAKELA,
TURKKA KEINONEN, PASI TORRI, and RAIMO BACKSTROM

Application No. 09/863,897
Technology Center 2600

Mailed: February 4, 2009

Before CAROLYN L. JOHNSON, *Supervisory Paralegal Specialist.*
JOHNSON, *Supervisory Paralegal Specialist*

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on July 14, 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

EXAMINER'S ANSWER, GROUNDS OF REJECTION

A review of the file finds that the grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Examiner's Answer mailed August 10, 2007 under the

heading “Grounds of rejection” are not consistent with the grounds of rejection of claims set forth in the last Office action of record. The grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Examiner’s Answer must be consistent with the last Office action of record, including any Advisory action responsive to any after final submissions. Each Ground of rejection to be reviewed on appeal must be identified and any new grounds of rejection must be provided under a separate heading “New Grounds of Rejection” in the Examiner’s Answer and must include the approval of the TC Director or his/her designee. *See also Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) § 1207.02 and 1207.03 (8th ed. Rev. 6, Sept 2007)* for details.

A review of the Examiner’s Answer finds a new Ground(s) of Rejection that has not been provided the required heading “New Grounds of Rejection” and/or which does not include the approval of the TC Director or his/her designee. Specifically, the Examiner’s Answer sets forth a rejection of claims 10 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim in view of Wakatsuki and Carpenter, further in view of Schreiber; whereas the last Office action, including any mailed Advisory Action(s) finds that claims 10 and 20 have not been previously rejected. Correction of all Grounds of rejection for all claims is required.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the application is returned to the Examiner:

- (1) to vacate the Examiner’s Answer mailed August 10, 2007;

- (2) to generate a new Examiner's Answer setting forth the correct Grounds of rejection and to correct other sections of the Answer as may be required;
- (3) and to include the approval of the TC Director or his/her designee (as required for any new grounds of rejection); and
- (4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

CLJ

WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5
755 MAIN STREET, P.O. BOX 224
MONROE, CT 06468